Engineering Economy

[5-1]
Present Worth Analysis





Let's do some Engineering Economy.

Do you still remember what is it???

Alternatives

 In general, the decision making process involves the <u>identification</u> of the best alternative among different alternatives

- There may initially be many alternatives, nevertheless only a few will be <u>feasible</u> and actually <u>evaluated</u>
- Therefore, each alternative is a <u>stand-alone option</u> that involves description and <u>best estimates</u> of parameters such as <u>first cost</u> (purchase prices, development, installation), estimated <u>annual</u> incomes and expenses, salvage value, interest rate, etc.

Alternative Selection

- To select an <u>alternative</u> among different ones, the measure-of-worth values are compared
- This is simply the result of engineering economy analysis
- Once the alternatives are evaluated and compared, the best alternative is selected and implemented
- Keep in mind that the alternatives represent projects that are economically and technologically viable

Problem identified; objective defined Alternative 2 Alternative 1 New Upgrade old equipment equipment Description and Description and information information · Income and cost estimates Cash flows Cash flows over some · Financing strategies over some time period · Tax laws time period Analysis using an • Time value of money Analysis using an • Interest rate engineering engineering economy model Measure of worth economy model · Calculated value of Evaluated Evaluated alternative 1 measure of worth alternative 2 Noneconomic attributes to be considered Select alternative 1 0 Implement 0 00 alternative 1

Alternative Selection Process

Project Categories

To help formulate alternatives, projects are categorized as one of the following:

- Mutually exclusive. Only one of the viable projects can be selected by the economic analysis. Each viable project is an alternative and <u>compete among each other</u>
- Independent. More than one viable project may be selected by the economic analysis. The <u>projects do not</u> <u>compete among each other</u>

Examples of Mutual Exclusive Projects

- Selection of the best power generation engines from several engines
- Constructing a road between two cities with an option of a road that crosses an intermediate city or another option of a road that circumvent that city
- Transporting goods between two river banks either by boats or through building a bridge

The Do-Nothing Alternative

- The <u>do-nothing</u> (DN) option is usually understood to be an alternative when the evaluation is performed
- If it is absolutely required that one of the defined alternatives to be selected, do nothing is not considered an option. This may occur when a mandated function must be installed for <u>safety</u>, <u>legal</u>, or other purposes
- Selection of the DN alternative means that the <u>current</u> <u>situation is maintained</u>; nothing new is initiated. No new costs, revenues, or savings are generated by the DN alternative

Analysis-period

- Three different analysis-period situations are encountered in economic analysis problems:
 - The useful life of each alternative equals the analysis period.
 - 2. The alternatives have useful lives different from the analysis period.
 - 3. There is an infinite analysis period, n = 00.

- In present worth analysis, the P value, now called PW, is calculated at the MARR for each alternative
- MARR is the <u>minimum attractive rate of return</u> and is higher than the rate expected from a bank or some safe investment

 The expected rate of return <u>must meet or exceed</u> the MARR for an alternative to be financially viable

- The present worth method is popular because future cost and revenue estimates are transformed into <u>equivalent</u> <u>dollars now</u>
- This makes it easy to determine the economic advantage of one alternative over another

- The PW comparison of alternatives with equal lives is straightforward
- If alternatives are used for the same time period, they are termed <u>equal-service</u> alternatives

In <u>mutually exclusive alternatives</u>, the following guidelines are applied to select <u>one</u> alternative:

- One alternative. Calculate PW at the MARR. If PW ≥ 0, the requested MARR is met or exceeded and the alternative is financially viable
- Two or more alternatives. Calculate the PW of each alternative at the MARR. Select the alternative with the PW value that is numerically largest (less negative or more positive), indicating a lower PW of cost cash flows or larger PW of net cash flows of receipts minus disbursements

- Note that the guideline to select one alternative with the <u>lowest cost</u> or the <u>highest income</u> uses the criterion of <u>numerically largest</u>
- This is <u>NOT</u> the <u>absolute</u> value of the PW amount, because the sign matters

PW1 \$	PW2 \$	Selected Alternative
-1,500	-500	2
-500	1,000	2
+2,500	-500	1
+2,500	1,500	1

If the projects are <u>independent</u>, the selection guideline is as follows:

For one or more independent projects, select all projects with PW ≥ 0 at the MARR

Perform a present worth analysis of equal-service machines with the costs shown below, if the MARR is 10% per year. Revenues for all the three alternatives are expected to be the same

Cost Type	Electric- Powered	Gas- Powered	Solar- Powered
First cost, \$	-2,500	-3,500	-6,000
Annual operating cost, \$	-900	-700	-50
Salvage value, \$	200	350	100
Life, years	5	5	5

- The salvage values are considered a "negative" cost, so a "+" sign precedes them
- The PW of each machine is calculated at i = 10% for n = 5 years
- PW_E = -2,500 900(P/A,10%,5) + 200(P/F,10%,5) = \$-5,788
- $PW_G = -3,500 700(P/A,10\%,5) + 350(P/F,10\%,5) =$ \$ 5,936
- PW_S = -6,000 50(P/A,10%,5) + 100(P/F,10%,5) = \$-6,127
- The electric-powered machine is selected since the PW of its costs is the lowest (it has the numerically largest PW value)