

Engineering Economy

[9]

Benefit Cost Analysis

General Definitions

- **Benefits:** the advantages to the public [*favorable consequences of the project to the public*]
- **Costs:** construction, operations, and maintenance [*monetary disbursement required from the government*]
- **Disbenefits:** expected **undesirable** (negative) consequences to the public [*loss in funding, decline in service,...*]

Benefit/Cost Analysis

- The benefit/cost ratio (B/C) is relied upon as a fundamental analysis method for public engineering projects
- All cost and benefit estimates must be converted to a common monetary unit (PW, AW, FW)

$$B/C = \frac{\text{PW of benefits}}{\text{PW of costs}} = \frac{\text{AW of benefits}}{\text{AW of costs}} = \frac{\text{FW of benefits}}{\text{FW of costs}}$$

- The sign of B/C is positive so the *costs are considered positive amounts*

B/C Decision Guidelines

- If $B/C \geq 1.0$, accept the project
- If $B/C < 1.0$, reject the project

The Conventional B/C Ratio

- The **conventional** B/C ratio is calculated as follows (most widely used):

$$\frac{B}{C} = \frac{\textit{benefits} - \textit{disbenefits}}{\textit{costs}}$$

- In this equation, **disbenefits** are subtracted from benefits not added to costs
- *Net benefits = benefits – disbenefits*

The Modified B/C Ratio

- The **modified** B/C ratio includes *maintenance and operation* (M&O) costs and treat them in a manner similar to disbenefits
- *Salvage value is included as a negative cost*
- The modified B/C ratio is:

$$\text{Modified } \frac{B}{C} = \frac{\text{benefits} - \text{disbenefits} - \text{M\&O costs}}{\text{initial investment}}$$

The Benefit and Cost Difference Measure

- This measure (formula) is based on the difference between PW, AW, FW of benefits and costs
- That is, $B - C$
- If $(B - C) \geq 0$, the project is acceptable

Example [1]

- The Ford Foundation expects to award *\$15 million* in grants to public high schools to develop new ways to teach the fundamentals of engineering that prepare students for university-level material
- The grants will extend over a 10-year period and will create an estimated savings of *\$1.5 million per year* in faculty salaries and student-related expenses. The Foundation uses a rate of return of 6% per year on all grant awards
- An estimated *\$200,000 per year* will be removed from other program funding. To make this program successful, a *\$500,000 per year* operating cost will be incurred from the regular M&O budget. Use the B/C method to determine if the grants program is economically justified

Example [1]

- The first step is to convert all the cash flows into annual worth flows (AW)
- Thus, you need to find AW for the investment cost, benefit, disbenefit, and M&O cost
- AW of investment cost = $15,000,000(A/P, 6\%, 10) = \$2,038,050$ per year
- AW of benefit = \$1,500,000 per year
- AW of disbenefit = \$200,000 per year
- AW of M&O cost = \$500,000 per year

Example [1]

■ The conventional B/C ratio =

$$\frac{B}{C} = \frac{1,500,000 - 200,000}{2,038,050 + 500,000} = 0.51$$

Labels: Benefits (1,500,000), Disbenefits (200,000), Costs (2,038,050 + 500,000)

The project is not justified since $B/C < 1.0$

■ The modified B/C ratio =

$$\frac{B}{C} = \frac{1,500,000 - 200,000 - 500,000}{2,038,050} = 0.39$$

Labels: Benefits (1,500,000), Disbenefits (200,000), M&O cost (500,000), Investment (2,038,050)

The project is not economically justified since $B/C < 1.0$

Example [1]

- For the $(B - C)$ model, B is the net benefit, and the annual M&O cost is included with costs
- $B - C = (1,500,000 - 200,000) - (2,038,050 + 500,000) = \$ -1.24$ million
- Since $(B - C) < 0$, the project is not economically justified

Alternative Selection

- The technique to compare two mutually exclusive alternatives using B/C analysis is to use $\Delta B/\Delta C$ for the larger-cost alternative
- If $\Delta B/\Delta C \geq 1.0$, choose the higher-cost alternative
If $\Delta B/\Delta C < 1.0$, choose the lower cost alternative

Example [2]

- There are *two* designs and one of them must be accepted
- The *three* estimates in the table should be considered to determine which design to recommend
- The interest rate is 5% and the life is 30 years

	Design A	Design B
<i>Construction cost, \$</i>	10,000,000	15,000,000
<i>Maintenance cost, \$/year</i>	35,000	55,000
<i>Usage cost, \$/year</i>	450,000	200,000

Example [2]

- The AW of **total costs** is the sum of construction and maintenance costs:

Construction

Maintenance

$$AW_A = 10,000,000(A/P, 5\%, 30) + \underline{35,000} = \$685,500$$

$$AW_B = 15,000,000(A/P, 5\%, 30) + \underline{55,000} = \$1,030,750$$

- Design B has the **larger** AW costs, so the incremental cost value is:

$$\Delta C = AW_B - AW_A = 1,030,750 - 685,500 = \$345,250/\text{year}$$

- Although design A has less investment cost compared to design B, yet design B can yield benefits in terms of *reducing the usage cost at a value of \$250,000/year*

Example [2]

- The incremental (net) benefit is the difference in usage cost:

$$\Delta B = 450,000 - 200,000 = \$250,000 \text{ per year}$$

- The incremental B/C ratio is:

$$\underline{\Delta B / \Delta C} = 250,000 / 345,250 = 0.72$$

- The $\Delta B / \Delta C$ ratio is less than 1.0 indicating that *design A is selected*

Example [3]

- There are **four** proposals for a rehabilitation of a park and you need to find out the best one using the B/C analysis if the interest rate is 7%
- The cash flows are provided in the table below:

	1	2	3	4
Initial cost, \$	\$ 250,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 800,000
Maintenance cost, \$/year	\$ 25,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 80,000
Entrance fees, \$/year	\$ 500,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 250,000
Extra sales, \$/year	\$ 310,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 340,000
Life, years	8	8	8	8

Example [3]

- Find the **annual cost** for each proposal. For instance, proposal #1 has the following annual cost:

Initial investment + maintenance cost =
 $\$250,000(A/P, 7\%, 8) + \underline{25,000} = \$66,867$

	Proposal 1	Proposal 2	Proposal 3	Proposal 4
AW C	\$ 66,867	\$ 93,614	\$ 133,734	\$ 213,974

- For #1 and #2, compute $\Delta C = 93,614 - 66,867 = \$26,747$
- Compute $\Delta B = (500,000 - 450,000) + (320,000 - 310,000) = \$60,000$ (when proposal #2 is compared to proposal #1, the entrance fees decrease by \$50,000 per year and the sales increase by \$10,000)
- $\Delta B/\Delta C = 60,000/26,747 = 2.24$
- Choose #2 over #1

Example [3]

	2-to-1	3-to-2	4-to-2
ΔC	\$ 26,747	\$ 40,120	\$ 120,360
ΔB	\$ 60,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 220,000
$\Delta B/\Delta C$	2.24	0.62	1.83
	2 is better	2 is better	4 is the best

Example [4]

- Compare three flood-control options all with 50 year life time under the assumption of $i = 6\%$
- Option C causes environmental damage (e.g., damage to salmon fisheries)

	A	B	C
<i>Investment cost</i>	\$ 0	\$ 2,900,000	\$ 5,300,000
<i>Annual maintenance cost</i>	\$ 0	\$ 35,000	\$ 40,000
<i>Expected annual <u>flood</u> damage</i>	\$ 480,000	\$ 105,000	\$ 55,000
<i>Annual damage caused by <u>dam</u></i>	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 38,000
<i>Life, years</i>		50	50

Example [4]

- First of all, convert to annual worth the estimates of investment costs
- Thereafter, find out the total annual cost (investment + maintenance)
- Then, find the total annual damage (caused by dam + flood)
- Choose any two alternatives and compute $\Delta B/\Delta C$

Example [4]

$$2,900,000(A/P, 6\%, 50) + 35,000$$

$$2,900,000(A/P, 6\%, 50)$$

	A	B	C
<i>Annual dam investment cost</i>	\$ 0	\$ 183,988	\$ 336,255
<i>Total annual dam cost</i>	\$ 0	\$ 218,988	\$ 376,255
<i>Total annual damage</i>	\$ 480,000	\$ 105,000	\$ 93,000

	A vs. B	B vs. C
Δ costs	\$ 218,988	\$ 157,266
Δ benefits	\$ 375,000	\$ 12,000
$\Delta B/\Delta C$	1.712	0.076

$$480,000 - 105,000$$

- Since $\Delta B/\Delta C$ ratio (1.7) > 1, *then choose B*
- Since $\Delta B/\Delta C$ ratio (0.07) < 1, *then choose B*

Example [5]

- Two engineers, Bob and Judy, made the estimates shown below for two optional methods by which new construction technology would be implemented at a site for public housing
- Either one of the two options may be selected. Determine if option 1 or option 2 is selected by each engineer
- Use a life time of 5 years and an interest rate of 10% per year for all analyses

Example [5]

	Engineer Bob		Engineer Judy	
	Option 1	Option 2	Option 1	Option 2
Initial cost, \$	\$50,000	\$90,000	\$75,000	\$90,000
Cost, \$/year	\$3,000	\$4,000	\$3,800	\$3,000
Benefits, \$/year	\$20,000	\$29,000	\$30,000	\$35,000
Disbenefits, \$/year	\$500	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$0
Life, years	5	5	5	5

You need to find the net benefits (*benefits* - *disbenefits*) and total costs (*initial* + *running*) for each option

Net Benefits, \$/year	\$19,500	\$27,500	\$29,000	\$35,000
Net cost, \$/year	\$16,190	\$27,742	\$23,585	\$26,742

Example [5]

- Find the incremental B/C ratio and do the selection of alternatives
- Select option 1 according to the estimates of the first engineer
- Select option 2 according to the estimates of the second engineer

	2-1		2-1
ΔB	\$8,000		\$6,000
ΔC	\$11,552		\$3,157
$\Delta B/\Delta C$	0.69		1.90

Example [6]

- A public project has the following cash flows (in millions):

Year	Inflow (\$)	Outflow (\$)
0	0	10
1	0	10
2	20	5
3	30	5
4	30	8
5	20	8

- Compute the benefit cost ratio for this project

Example [6]

- Assume that the benefits (B) are the inflows and compute the present worth:

$$B = 20(P/F,10\%,2) + 30(P/F,10\%,3) + 30(P/F,10\%,4) + 20(P/F,10\%,5) = \$71.98$$

- Assume that the cost values (C) are the outflows and compute the present worth:

$$C = 10 + 10(P/F,10\%,1) + 5(P/F,10\%,2) + 5(P/F,10\%,3) + 8(P/F,10\%,4) + 8(P/F,10\%,5) = \$37.41$$

- $B/C = 71.98/37.41 = 1.92$ and the project is economically feasible