### Supervision systems course

Chapter - 02: Fault Diagnostics and Prognostics

Instructor: Dr.Muath Wahdan

October 9, 2023

# Outline





2 Elements of CBM Cycle

### **3** Fault Diagnosis



Fault Diagnosis Techniques

**Bayesian Detection** 

A B < A B </p>

э

### Introduction

### Three different types of maintenance

Periodic maintenance or preventive maintenance, then we have predictive maintenance or what is known as condition-based maintenance, and then we have reactive maintenance

### Failure Modes Effects and Criticality Analysis

How FMECA could be used to identify the critical equipment or machinery or operation so, our maintenance efforts need to be strengthened, and we need to put in more maintenance efforts.

### certain definitions of fault diagnosis

How can I find out if a fault has occurred? what kind of fault has occurred? which component in the machine has failed? and if it has failed or if it is deteriorating! how long is it going to last till the machine totally fails?



As time goes by, the maintenance of machines accrues costs due to the increasing wear and tear, which may escalate repair expenses. However, a point will eventually be reached where continuing these repairs becomes economically impractical. At this juncture, it becomes clear that it's time to contemplate replacing the machine.

Supervision systems course

October 9, 2023

4 / 32

# Elements of CBM Cycle

- Sensors (Smart-Fault Tolerant).
- Data
  - Processing
  - Feature Extraction.
- Fault Classification
- Prediction of Fault Evolution
- Schedule Required Maintenance.

Elements of CBM Cycle



3



# Fault Diagnosis

fault diagnosis means detecting, isolating and identifying an impending or incipient failure condition and the affected components of the system are still operational even though at a degraded mode. (Many times the defect has occurred that does not totally impair the machine, it will be still running, but then the fault that I have identified is very important and you will see a lot of this data analytics or signal feature extraction, helps us find out the defect in the machine.)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト



Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 9 / 32

3

### Failure diagnosis

### Failure diagnosis

detecting, isolating and identifying a component that has ceased (STOP) to operate because the failure has occurred, and then we are asked what was the reason, why did the machine fails, and that is what we do which is known as failure analysis.

### Fault (Failure) Detection

An abnormal operating condition is detected and reported. Today the sensors are so smartly available, that the machines themselves through the right data analytics will indicate that a failure has occurred or what kind of corrections needs to be taken and so on.

### Fault (Failure) Isolation

Determining which component (subsystem, system) is failing or has failed. So, determining which component subsystem is failing or has failed.

### Fault (Failure) Identification

Estimating the nature and the extent(range) of the fault (Failure); is also sometimes very important because when we are talking about the RUL, this information is going to help us.

A B b A B b

# Fault Diagnosis Techniques

- Model Based: eg. In the nuclear reactor, you have to develop a lot of models; like a neural network.
- Data-driven (signal based): We have a machine, put a transducer, get some signal, analyze it, see the characteristic frequency spectrum, and then try to correlate with the machine component

A B M A B M

# Data driven Fault Classification

- Stored fault pattern library (fault classification)that means, the characteristic defect signatures are already there. Eg. Next Sild
- Feature Vectors (a feature vector is an n-dimensional vector of numerical features that represent some object e.g RGB (red-green-blue))
- Decision making and fault classification
  - Detectors
  - Neyman-Pearson Detectors
  - Neural Networks, Fuzzy Logic.
- Diagnosed Faults.

★ ∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 14 / 32

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# **Bayesian Detection**

#### 1 Introduction

Classification appears in many disciplines for pattern recognition and detection methods. In this lecture we introduce the Bayesian decision theory, which is based on the existence of prior distributions of the parameters.

#### 1.1 Bayesian Detection Framework

Before we discuss the details of the Bayesian detection, let us take a quick tour about the overall framework to detect (or classify) an object in practice. In the Bayesian setting, we model observations as random samples drawn from some probability distributions. The classification process usually involves extracting features from the observations, and a decision rule that satisfies certain optimality criterion. See Figure 1.



Figure 1: Block diagram of a classifier

When the distributions of the random samples are not known (which is true in most real-world applications), we might need an estimation algorithm to first determine the parameters of the distributions, e.g., mean and standard deviation. A decision rule can then designed based on these estimated parameters. To verify the efficiency of the classifier, testing data are used to calculate the error rate or false alarm rate. In most cases, a classifier with small false alarm rate is sought. This process is shown in Figure 2.



classifiers in this course. Interested readers can consult standard textbooks on pattern recognitions for detailed discussions on these practical issues.

#### 1.2 Objectives and Organizations

We begin this lecture note with a birdf review of probability. We assume that readers are familiar with introductory probability theory (e.g., ECE 600). After reviewing probability theory, we will discuss the general Bayes' decision rule. Then, we will discuss three special cases of the general Bayes' decision rule: Maximum-a-posteriori (MAP) decision, Binary hypothesis testing, and M-ary hypothesis testing.

#### 2 Review of Probability

#### 2.1 Probability Space

Any random experiment can be defined using the probability space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  where S is the sample space,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the event space, and  $\mathbb{P}$  is the probability mapping. The samples space S is a non-smpty set containing all outcomes of the experiments. The event space  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of subset of Sto which probabilities are assigned. The event space  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of subset of Sto which probabilities are assigned. The event space  $\mathcal{F}$  is much as non-simply set that satisfies the properties of a  $\sigma$ -field. The probability mapping is a set function that assigns a real number to every set:

$$P : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 (1)

and must satisfy the following three probability axioms:

Non-negativity:  $\mathbb{P}(A) \ge 0$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ Normalization:  $\mathbb{P}(S) = 1$ Additivity:  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$  if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ 

#### 2.2 Conditional Probability

In many situations we would want to know the probability of an event A occurring given that another event B has occurred. In this case, the probability of a newnt A given that another event B has occurred in called conditional probability. The condition probability of A given B is defined as:

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$
(2)

assuming  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ .

#### Example 1.

Consider rolling a die. The probability of event A = 6 is equal to 1/6. However, if someone provides additional information, let's say that the event B =roll of a die was bigger than 4, then the probability of A given B is:

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{1/6}{3/6} = 1/3.$$

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 16 / 32

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

#### 2.4 Expectations

The expectation of a random variable described by a probability mass function or a probability density function is

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \begin{cases} \sum x p_X(x), & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete,} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is continuous.} \end{cases}$$
(12)

The conditional expectation is

$$\mathbb{E}[X|Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx \qquad (13)$$

The variance is defined as:

$$Var[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2.$$
 (14)

A very useful result of the expectation is the total expectation formula, also known as the iterated expectation.

Theorem 3. TOTAL	EXPECTATION	
	$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_{X Y}[X Y = y]]$	(15)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x|y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(y) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{XY}(x|y) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[X|Y = y] f_Y(y) dy = \mathbb{E}_{Y}[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[X|Y = y]]. \end{split}$$

#### 2.5 Gaussian Distribution

Finally we review the Gaussian distribution. A single variable Gaussian distribution is defined as

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^x, \quad (16)$$

where  $\mu$  is the mean and  $\sigma^2$  is the variance. We write

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$
 (17)

to denote a random variable  $\boldsymbol{X}$  drawn from a Gaussian distribution.

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 17 / 32

For multivariate Gaussian, the distribution is

$$f_X(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\},$$
 (18)

where  $X = [X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_d]^T$  is a *d*-dimensional vector,  $\mu = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_d]^T$  is the mean vector, and

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T] = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Var}(X_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}(X_1, X_d) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}(X_1, X_d) & \cdots & \operatorname{Var}(X_d) \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

is the covariance matrix.

If  $Cor(X_i, X_j) = 0$  then  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are said to be uncorrelated. If  $Cor(X_i, X_j) > 0$  then  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are said to be positively correlated. However, it should be calified that uncorrelated does not imply independent, because Cor(X, Y) = 0 only implies  $\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$  but not  $f_{XY}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ . The converse is true however. That is, if X and Y are independent, then Cor(X,Y) = 0. Here is a counter example by C. Shalini,

#### Example 2.

Let  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(-1, 1)$ , and let Y = |X|. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[XY | X \ge 0] = \int_0^1 x^2 dx = 1/3$$
  
 $\mathbb{E}[XY | X < 0] = \int_{-1}^0 x^2 dx = -1/3$ 

Thus, by Law of Total Expectation we have  $\mathbb{E}[XY] = 0$ . However, X and Y are clearly dependent.

#### 3 Bayesian Decision Theory

In Bayes's detection theory, we are interested in computing the posterior distribution  $f_{\rm DX}(\theta|z)$ . Using Baye' theorem, it is easy to also what the posterior distribution  $f_{\rm DX}(\theta|z)$  can be computed via the conditional distribution  $f_{\rm YB}(x|\theta)$  and the prior distribution  $f_{\rm B}(\theta)$ . The prior distribution  $f_{\rm B}(\theta)$  represents the prior knowledge we may have for the distribution of the  $\theta$  parameter before we obtain additional information for our dataset. In other words, Bayes' detection theory utilizes prior knowledge in the decision.

Bayes' theorem can be used for discrete or continuous random variables. For discrete random variables it takes the form:

$$p_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y) = \frac{p_{Y|\Theta}(y|\theta)p_{\Theta}(\theta)}{p_{Y}(y)},$$
 (20)

where p represents the probability mass function. For continuous random variables:

$$f_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y) = \frac{f_{Y|\Theta}(y|\theta)f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{f_Y(y)},$$
 (21)

where f is the probability density function.

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 18 / 32

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

#### 3.1 Notations

To facilitate the subsequent discussion, we introduce the following notations.

- Parameter Θ. We assume that Θ is a random variable with realization Θ = θ. The domain of θ is defined as Λ. For detection, we assume that Λ is a collection of M states, i.e., Λ <sup>def</sup><sub>d</sub> (0, 1,..., M − 1).
- Prior distributions π<sub>0</sub>, π<sub>1</sub>,..., π<sub>M-1</sub>, where π<sub>j</sub> = P(Θ = j). Note that the sum of all π<sub>j</sub> should be 1:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \pi_j = 1.$$
(22)

Conditional distribution of observing Y = y given that Θ = j:

$$f_j(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(Y = y|H_j),$$
 (23)

where  $H_i$  denotes the hypothesis that  $\Theta = j$ .

Posterior distributions of having Θ = j given the observation Y = y:

$$\pi_j(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(H_j|Y = y).$$
 (24)

By Bayes' theorem, we can show that

$$\mathbb{P}(H_j|Y = y) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = y|H_j)p(H_j)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = y)},$$
 (25)

and so

$$\pi_j(y) = \frac{f_j(y)\pi_j}{\sum_i f_j(y)\pi_j}$$
(26)

- Decision rule δ : Γ → Λ. The decision rule is a function that takes an input y ∈ Γ and sends y to a value δ(y) ∈ Λ.
- 6. Cost function C(i, j) or C<sub>ij</sub>. In detection or classification of objects, every decision is accompanied by a cost. If, for example, there is a flying object or a disease and we are not able to detect, then there is cost with this decision. That is, if we decide that there is no signal but itstaad there is signal, then we call this a miss. In the case were there is no thing present but we decide that there is, then we have a false alarm. Sometimes the cost is very small or significant depending on the situation. For example, it is preferable to have false alarm than a miss in the case of disease detection. The cost associated with cache decision is described by the cost function. Here, we use C<sub>ij</sub> to describe the cost of donosing H<sub>i</sub> when H<sub>j</sub> holds. For a binary hypothesis, the cost function can be represented by a table.

$$H_0 = H_1$$
  
 $\delta(y) = 0 = C_{00} = C_{01}$   
 $\delta(y) = 1 = C_{10} = C_{11}$ 

For example,  $C_{01}$  is the cost associated with selecting  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  was the true value, i.e., the cost of having a miss. Similarly,  $C_{10}$  is the cost of having a false alarm.  $C_{00}$  and  $C_{11}$  are the cost of having the correct detection.

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 19 / 32

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

#### 3.2 Bayesian Risk

The goal of Bayesian detection is to minimize the risk, defined as

$$R(\delta) = \mathbb{E}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)].$$
 (27)

In other words, the optimal decision rule is

$$\delta(y) = \underset{s}{\operatorname{argmin}} R(\delta).$$
 (28)

Minimizing the risk defined as the expectation of the cost function is analytically very difficult as it involves the minimization of the double integral. To solve this problem we observe the following result:

Proposition 1.

$$\delta(y) = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)] = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i, j)\pi_j(y).$$
 (29)

To prove the above proposition we need to make use of the total expectation, and in particular the following lemma:

Lemma 1.  $\mathbb{E}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{Y}[\mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)|Y = y]].$  (30)

Proof.

By definition of  $\mathbb{E}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)]$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{Y \Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)] = \iint C(\delta(y), \theta) f_{Y \Theta}(y, \theta) dy d\theta.$$

Since  $f_{Y\Theta}(y, \theta) = f_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y)f_Y(y)$  by Bayes' theorem, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)] = \iint C(\delta(y), \theta) f_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y) f_Y(y) d\theta dy.$$

 $\mathbb{E}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y),\Theta)] =$  Switching the order of integration yields

$$\iint C(\delta(y), \theta) f_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y) f_Y(y) d\theta dy = \int f_Y(y) \int C(\delta(y), \theta) f_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y) d\theta dy,$$

in which we see that the inner integration is  $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \theta)|Y = y]$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \int f_Y(y) \int C(\delta(y), \theta) f_{\Theta|Y}(\theta|y) d\theta dy &= \int f_Y(y) \mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \theta)|Y = y] dy \\ &= E_Y[E_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)|Y = y]]. \end{split}$$

E

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ― 圖 … のへで

Using the Lemma we can prove the proposition.

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 20 / 32

Proof. By Lemma, we have that

$$\underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \mathbb{E}_{Y\Theta}[C(\delta(Y),\Theta)] = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \int \mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y),\Theta)|Y = y]f_Y(y)dy$$

Since  $f_Y(y)$  is non-negative, the minimizer of the integral is the same as the minimizer of the inner expectation. Therefore, we have

$$\underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_{Y \Theta}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)] = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta)|Y = y]$$

Expressing out the definition of the conditional expectation, we have

$$\delta(y) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i, j)\pi_j(y).$$

We remark that  $\delta(y)$  is a function of y. That is, for a different observation y, the decision value  $\delta(y)$  is different. To denote that this the Bayesian decision rule, we put a subscript  $\delta_B(y)$ .

#### 3.3 Maximum-A-Posteriori rule

We now consider a special case where the cost function is uniform, defined as

$$C(i, j) = \begin{cases}
1, & i \neq j, \\
0, & i = j.
\end{cases}$$
(31)

In this case, the decision rule becomes

$$\begin{split} \delta_B(y) &= \operatorname*{argmin}_i \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i,j) \pi_j(y) \\ &= \operatorname*{argmin}_i \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \pi_j(y) \\ &= \operatorname*{argmin}_i (1 - \pi_j(y)) \\ &= \operatorname*{argmin}_i \pi_i(y). \end{split}$$

Therefore, for uniform cost, the risk is minimized by maximizing the posterior distribution. Thus we call the resulting decision rule as the Maximum-A-Posteriori (MAP) rule.

An important property of the MAP rule is that is minimizes the probability of error.

Definition 1. The probability of error is defined as		
$\mathbb{P}_{error} = \mathbb{P}(\Theta \neq \delta(Y)).$	(32)	

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Proposition 2.

For any decision rule  $\delta$ , and for a uniform cost,

$$P_{error} = R(\delta).$$

Proof.

First of all, we note by the law of total probability that

$$\mathbb{P}_{error} = \mathbb{P}(\Theta \neq \delta(Y)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Theta \neq \delta(Y)|Y = y)f_Y(y)dy$$

The conditional probability inside the integral can be written as:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\Theta \neq \delta(Y)|Y = y) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\Theta = \delta(Y)|Y = y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \mathbb{P}(\Theta = j|Y = y). \end{split}$$

By using the uniform cost, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \mathbb{P}(\Theta = \delta(Y)|Y = y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(\delta(y), j)\mathbb{P}(\Theta = \delta(Y)|Y = y)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta), \Theta|Y].$$

Therefore the probability of error becomes:

$$\mathbb{P}_{error} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Theta \neq \delta(Y) | Y = y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\Theta|Y}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta), \Theta|Y] f_Y(y) dy,$$

which is equal to the expectation of the cost which is the definition of the risk. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_{error} = \mathbb{E}_{Y}[C(\delta(Y), \Theta] = R(\delta).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ― 圖 … のへで

The result of this proposition says that since the probability of error is equal to the risk for the case of a uniform cost function, and since the Bayes' decision rule minimizes the risk, the Bayes' decision rule should also minimize the probability of error.

#### 3.4 Binary Hypothesis Testing

We now discuss the binary hypothesis testing problem. To begin with, let us consider the general cost function. Denoting  $C_{00}$ ,  $C_{01}$ ,  $C_{10}$ ,  $C_{11}$  as the cost and  $\pi_0$ ,  $\pi_1$  as the prior, we can write Bayesian decision rule as

$$\delta_B(y) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C_{ij}\pi_j(y)$$

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 22 / 32

Since there are only two possible choices of decisions (because it is a binary decision problem), we have

$$C_{00}\pi_0(y) + C_{01}\pi_1(y) \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} C_{10}\pi_0(y) + C_{11}\pi_1(y).$$

With some simple calculations we can show that

$$C_{00}\pi_0(y) + C_{01}\pi_1(y) \leq_{H_0}^{H_0} C_{10}\pi_0(y) + C_{11}\pi_1(y)$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \pi_1(y)(C_{01} - C_{11}) \leq_{H_0}^{H_0} \pi_0(y)(C_{10} - C_{00})$   
 $\Rightarrow \frac{\pi_1(y)}{\pi_0(y)} \leq_{H_0}^{H_0} \frac{C_{10}-C_{11}}{C_{01}-C_{11}},$ 

where the last inequality follows because  $C_{00} < C_{10}$  and  $C_{11} < C_{01}$ . Since  $\pi_j(y) = \frac{f_j(y)\pi_j}{\sum_j f_j(y)\pi_j}$ , we have

$$\frac{f_1(y)}{f_0(y)} \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} \frac{(C_{00} - C_{10})\pi_0}{(C_{11} - C_{01})\pi_1}$$

If we define

$$L(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f_1(y)}{f_0(y)},$$

and

$$\eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(C_{00} - C_{10})\pi_0}{(C_{11} - C_{01})\pi_1}$$

then the decision rule becomes

$$L(y) \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} \eta$$

The function L(y) is called the likelihood ratio and the above decision rule the likelihood ration test (LRT).

#### Example 3.

Let two sample data drawn from two classes. The classes are described by two Gaussian distributions having equal variance but different means:

$$H_0 : Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$
  
 $H_1 : Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 

To determine the Bayes' decision rule, we first compute the likelihood ratio

$$L(y) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{y-\mu}{\sigma})^2}}{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{\sigma})^2}} = \exp\left(-\frac{2y\mu + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

By taking log on both sides, we have

$$\ln L(y) \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} \ln \frac{(C_{00} - C_{10})\pi_0}{(C_{11} - C_{01})\pi_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln \eta$$

With some calculations we can show that this is equivalent to

$$y \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} \frac{\sigma^2 \ln \eta}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{2}$$
.

Thus, if the observed value y is larger that the right hand side of the above equation then must choose class i = 1. If not, we must choose class i = 0. Figure 3 illustrates an numerical example for the 1D and the 2D case.

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 23 / 32

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日



Figure 3: Decision boundaries for a binary hypothesis testing problem of 1D and 2D Gaussian.

The above general Bayes decision rule can be simplified when we assume a uniform cost. In this case, we have

$$\frac{f_1(y)}{f_0(y)} \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1}$$
,

which is equivalent to

$$\pi_1(y) \leq_{H_1}^{H_0} \pi_0(y).$$

Therefore, we will claim  $H_0$  if  $\pi_0(y) > \pi_1(y)$  and vice versa. Or equivalently, we have

$$\delta(y) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmax}} \pi_i(y).$$

Since  $\pi_i(y)$  is the posterior probability, we can the resulting decision rule as the maximum-aposteriori decision.

#### Example 4.

Consider Example 3 with uniform cost. Then, the MAP decision rule is (with  $\eta = 1$ )

 $y < \frac{\mu}{2}$ .

#### 3.5 M-ary Hypothesis Testing

We can generalize the above binary hypothesis testing problem to a M-ary hypothesis testing problem. In M-ary hypothesis testing, there are M hypotheses or classes which we wish to assign our observations. The Bayesian decision rule is based again on minimizing the risk similarly to the binary case:

$$\delta_B(y) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i, j)\pi_j(y).$$
 (33)

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 24 / 32

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

э

By Bayes' theorem, we have

$$\delta_B(y) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i, j) \frac{\pi_j f_j(y)}{f(y)}.$$

Now, we can divide the posterior distribution by the posterior of  $H_0$  without affecting the minimizer of the optimization:

$$\begin{split} \delta_B(y) &= \operatorname*{argmin}_i \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i,j) \frac{\frac{x_i f_i(y)}{f(y)}}{\frac{x_i f_i(y)}{f(y)}} \\ &= \operatorname*{argmin}_i \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i,j) \frac{\pi_j f_j(y)}{\pi_0 f_0(y)}. \end{split}$$

By defining

$$L_j(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f_j(y)}{f_0(y)}$$
, and  $h_i(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} C(i, j) \frac{\pi_j}{\pi_0} L_j(y)$ ,

we can show that

$$\delta_B(y) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} h_i(y)$$

Therefore, our goal is to select hypothesis  $H_0$  if  $h_0 < h_1$  and  $h_0 < h_2$  and  $h_0 < h_3$ , the  $h_0 < h_4$ ,  $h_1$ . To visualize taks, let's consider three hypotheses described by three 1-d Gaussian distributions (Figure 4). In this case, there can be no single boundary as in the binary hypothesis testing, instead the observed value has to be compared with all individual hypotheses to reach a conclusion.

TO DO: Add an example to example M-ary hypothesis testing.

#### 4 Numerical Example

In this example, we generate data from a random number generator. Our goal is to use Bayes' decision rule to classify the data into 2 classes. The m-file is provided in the appendix. Two sets of experiments were performed. The first one was based on equal priors for class 1 and class 2,  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0.5$ . 1000 samples were drawn. The probability density functions were assumed to be Gaussian to represent the two classes but they differed in mean value. Two typical probability density distributions that were used and the sampled data are shown in Figure 5a. For different priors  $\pi_1 = 0.3$  and  $\pi_2 = 0.1$  the probability density distributions that were used and the sampled data are shown in Figure 5b. It can be seen that the sampled data for the second pdf are more starce. However, the decision boundary can be easily drawn. Finally, two pdf with different standard deviations is shown in Figure 6. In this case, there is significant overlap between the two distributions hefere.

For simplicity, let's start the classification example with equal standard deviations for both Gaussians distributions, i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 2.0$ . For less 1, the average value was solected to be  $m_1 = 1.0$  and was kept constant. For class 2, the average value  $m_2$  varied from 4.0 to 20.0 with a step of 2. That is  $m_2 = 4.6$ , 8, 10, 21, 14, 16, 18, 20 and therefore  $m_2 - m_1 = 3.5$ ,  $\tau_1 = 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19$ . This septement was performed 10 times and the number of mickassifications was measured as shown in the Bollowing Figure 7a. The priors were different in this case,  $\tau_1 = 0.2$  and  $\tau_2 = 0.8$ .

Supervision systems course

October 9, 2023 25 / 32

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト



Figure 4: M=3 hypotheses

It appears that increasing the distance between the distributions, the error is reduced and from approximately 90 decreases to 0 for  $m_2 - m_1 > 12$ . Therefore, for completely separated classes the misclassifications are almost zero, as expected.

The second experiment considers equal priors,  $\pi_1 = 0.5$  and  $\pi_2 = 0.5$ . The results are shown graphically in Figure 7b. In this case, the number of misclassifications is larger and approximately 250 for mean different less than 4.

Overall, it was shown that for well separated classes the error of classification is very small and tends asymptotically to zero as the separation increases. On the contrary, for poor separation between the two classes the error was large and was approaching the priors. Finally, it is observed that when the priors and the probability density distributions are known, Bayes rule is an efficient and simple toot to provide classification decisions in an optimal way.

#### 5 References

C.M. Bishop, 2006. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Springer

R. O. Duda, P. E. Hart, and D. G. Stork. 2000, Pattern Classification (2nd Edition). Wiley-Interscience.

K. Fukunaga, 1990. Introduction to Statistical Pattern Recognition (2nd Edition). Academic Press Prof., Inc., San Diego, CA, USA.

A. Papoulis and S. U. Pillai, Probability, 2001. Random Variables and Stochastic Processes, McGraw-Hill.

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 26 / 32

A D N A B N A B N A B N

э



Figure 5: Gaussian distributions and sampled data

#### 6 Appendix

Matlab code:

```
This m-file calculates the number of misclassifications of a
Classification algorithm using Bayes rule. There are 100 data
* that belong to 2 different classes drawn from a random number generator.
& Bayes rule is used to classify the data. The experiment is repeated
% 10 times for each m2-m1=0,2,4,6,8 and priors P1=P2=0.5 (i.e., 50 experiments total)
% and 10 times for each m2-m1-0,2,4,6,8 and priors P1-0.2 and P2-0.8. m1
% and m2 are the mean values of the Gaussian distribution. Sigmal and
% sigma2 are the standard deviations of the Gaussian distribution.
clear all;
P1=0.5; % prior for class 1
P2-0.5;
m1-1.0;
         * mean for normal distribution class 1
mulll=1.0071;
sull1-3.9004;
sigmal-2.0; % standard deviation for normal distribution class 1
m2-16.0;
         % mean for normal distribution class 2
sigma2-2.0; % standard deviation for normal distribution class 2
mu222-2.983;
su222=3.953;
N(1)-1000;
for n=1:1
for k-1:1
          % increase number of data
for 1-1:10 % perform experiment 10 times
                                                           ▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへで
                    Supervision systems course
                                                             October 9, 2023
                                                                                       27 / 32
```





```
for 1=1:N(k) % 100 randomly selected data
r-rand;
11 r>-P2
    C(1)-1;
                        % class 1
    rl(1)-ml+sigmal*randn;
    r2(1)=r1(1);
    r3(1)=0;
else
    c(1)=2;
                       % class 2
    rl(1)=m2+s1gma2+randn;
    r2(1)=0;
gl(i)=pdf('Normal',rl(i),ml,sigmal)*Pl; %calculate probability
q2(1)=pdf('Normal',r1(1),m2,sigma2)*P2; % calculate probability
g(1)=gl(1)-g2(1);
                                          % take the difference
1f g(1)>-0
                                          % if g>0 then class 1
    y(1)=1;
else
    v(1)-2;
end
m(1)=abs(c(1)-y(1));
                                         % if c-v-0 then correct classifications
end
A-[C', y', m'];
mi(k, )=nnz(m'); % returns the number nonzero elements of matrix k
end
mu(k)-mean(m1(k, 1));
                         %calculate average of misclassifications for 10 experiments
sd(k) = std(m1(k, 1));
                         % standard deviation of misclassifications for 10 experiments
mi(k, 1);
```

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 28 / 32

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ― 圖 … のへで



Figure 7: Misclassifications for equal and different priors

N(k+1) = N(k) + 100;end Dm-m2-m1; % difference of means figure(3) hold on plot(Dm,mu(k),'o') figure(4) hold on plot(Dm,m1(k,:),'o') m2=m2+0; end pdf2-pdf('Normal',r2,ml, signal); pdf3-pdf('Normal',r3,m2,s1gma2); figure(1) plot(N(1:k),m1(1:k,:),'o') %figure(2) %plot(r2,zeros(N(1)), 'ro', r3,zeros(N(1)), 'bo', r2, pdf2, 'go', r3, pdf3, 'ko')

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 29 / 32

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ― 圖 … のへで

# Data diagnostic methods

- Alarm Bounds
- Statistical Correlation and Regression methods.(identifying the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables.)
- Fuzzy Logic Classification. (It is the process of grouping elements into a fuzzy set whose membership function is defined by the truth value of a fuzzy propositional function.)
- Neural Network Classification and clustering.(They take input data, process the data through the hidden layers, and return output. In other words, they map inputs to outputs, and learn to approximate a function between any input x and any output y.)
- Wavelet Neural Network.

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

## Fault prognosis

prognosis is the ability to predict accurately and precisely the remaining useful life (RUL) of a failed component or subsystem. i.e. prognostics is nothing, but what is known as the fault forecast to determine RUL.

A B M A B M

# Thank You!

Supervision systems course October 9, 2023 32 / 32

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

3