# *Equations: IVP Lecture Notes on Numerical Differential*

**Professor Biswa Nath Datta**

**DeKalb, IL. 60115 USA Department of Mathematical Sciences Northern Illinois University**

**File faclib/dattab/LECTURE-NOTES/diff-equation-S06.tex, 5/1/2008 at 13:17, version 7**

**E−mail: dattab@math.niu.edu**

**URL: www.math.niu.edu/~dattab**

# **1 Initial Value Problem for Ordinary Differential Equations**

We consider the problem of numerically solving a system of differential equations of the form

$$
\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \ a \le t \le b, \ y(a) = \alpha \text{ (given)}.
$$

Such a problem is called the **Initial Value Problem** or in short **IVP**, because the initial value of the solution  $y(a) = \alpha$  is given.

Since there are infinitely many values between  $a$  and  $b$ , we will only be concerned here to find approximations of the solution  $y(t)$  at several specified values of t in [a, b], rather than finding  $y(t)$  at every value between a and b.

# Denote

- $y_i =$  (an approximate value of  $y(at)$  at  $t = t_i$ .)
- Divide [a, b] into N equal subintervals of length  $h$ :



**The Initial Value Problem** Given (1)  $y' = f(y, t), a \le t \le b$ (2) The initial value  $y(t_0) = y(a) = \alpha$ (3) The step-size  $h$ . Find  $y_i$  (an approximation of  $y(t_i)$ ),  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $N = \frac{b-a}{h}$ .

We will briefly describe here the well-known numerical methods for solving the IVP, such as the

- The **Euler Method**
- The **Taylor Method** of higher order
- The **Runge-Kutta Method**
- The **Adams-Moulton Method**
- The **Milne Method**

etc.

We will also discuss the error behavior and convergence of these methods.

However, before doing so, we state a result **without proof**, in the following section on the **existence** and **uniqueness** of the solution for the IVP. The proof can be found in most books on ordinary differential equations.

# **Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution for the IVP**

 $\blacksquare$ 

**Theorem: (Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for the IVP).**

The initial value problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\n y' = f(t, y) \\
 y(a) = \alpha\n\end{cases}
$$

has a unique solution  $y(t)$  for  $a \le t \le b$ , if  $f(t, y)$  is continuous on the domain, given by  $R = \{a \le t \le b, \quad \infty < y < \infty\}$  and satisfies the following inequality:

$$
|f(t, y) - f(t, y^*)| \le L|y - y^*|,
$$

Whenever  $(t, y)$  and  $(t, y^*) \in R$ .

**Definition**. The condition  $|f(t, y) - f(t, y^*)| \le L|y - y^*|$  is called the **Lipschitz Condition**. The number L is called a **Lipschitz Constant**.

### **Definition.**

A set S is said to be convex if whenever  $(t_1, y_1)$  and  $(t_2, y_2)$  belong to S, the point  $\Big($  (1 –  $\lambda$ )t<sub>1</sub> + 2t<sub>2</sub>, (1 –  $\lambda$ )y<sub>1</sub> +  $\lambda$ y<sub>2</sub>) also belongs to S for each  $\lambda$  when  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ .

### **Simplification of the Lipschitz Condition for the Convex Domain**

If the domain happens to be a **convex set**, then the condition of the above Theorem reduces to

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t,y)\right| \le L \text{ for all } (t,y) \in R.
$$

### **Liptischitz Condition and Well-Posednes**

#### **Definition.**

An IVP is said to be **well-posed** if a small perhubation in the data of the problem leads to only a small change in the solution.

Since numerical computation may very well introduce some perhubations to the problem, it is important that the problem that is to be solved is well-posed.

Fortunately, the Lipschitz condition is a sufficient condition for the IVP problem to be wellposed.

> **Theorem** (**Well-Posedness of the IVP problem**). If  $f(t, y)$  Satisfies the Lipschitz Condition, then the IVP is well-posed.

# **2 The Euler Method**

One of the simplest methods for solving the IVP is the classical Euler method.

The method is derived from the Taylor Series expansion of the function  $y(t)$ .

The function  $y(t)$  has the following Taylor series expansion of order n at  $t = t_{i+1}$ :

$$
y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + (t_{i+1} - t_i)y'(t_i) + \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^2}{2!}y''(t_i) + \dots + \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^n}{n!}y^{(n)}(t_i) + \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}y^{n+1}(\xi_i)
$$
, where  $\xi_i$  is in  $(t_i, t_{i+1})$ .

Substitute  $h = t_{i+1} - t_i$ . Then

Taylor Series Expansion under *n* of 
$$
y(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2!}y''(t_i) + \dots + \frac{h^n}{n!}y^{(n)}(t_i) + \frac{h^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}y^{(n+1)}(\xi_i).
$$

For  $n = 1$ , this formula reduces to

$$
y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(\xi).
$$

The term  $=\frac{h^2}{2!}$  $\frac{d}{2!}y^{(2)}(\xi_i)$  is call the **remainder term**.

Neglecting the remainder term, we have

Euler's Method  
\n
$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + hy'(t_i)
$$
\n
$$
i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1
$$
\n
$$
= y_i + h f(t_i, y_i),
$$

This formula is known as the **Euler method** and now can be used to approximate  $y(t_{i+1})$ .



# **Geometrical Interpretation**



**Example:**  $y' = t^2 + 5$ ,  $2+5$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ .

$$
y(0) = 0, \ h = 0.25
$$

The points of subdivisions are:  $t_0 = 0, t_1 = 0.25, t_2 = 0.50, t_3 = 0.75$  and  $t_4 = 1$ .

 $i = 0$ :  $t_1 = t_0 + h = 0.25$  $y_1 = y_0 + h f(t_0, y_0) = 0 + .25(5) = 1.25$  (exact value of  $y(1)$ : 1.2552)  $i = 1$  :  $t_2 = t_1 + h = 0.50$  $y_2 = y_1 + h f(t_1, y_1)$  $= 1.25 + 0.25(t_1^2 + 5) = 1.25 + 0.25((0.25)^2 + 5)$  $= 2.5156$  (**exact value** of  $y(2)$  : 2.5417)  $i = 2: t_3 = t_2 + h = 0.75$  $y_3 = y_2 + h f(t_2, y_2)$  $= 2.5156 + .25((.5)^{2} + 5) = 3.8281$  (exact value of  $y(3) : 3.8906$ )

**Note:** The exact values above are correct up to 4 decimal digits.

**Example:**  $y' = t^2 + 5$ ,  $0 \le t \le 2$ ,

$$
y(0) = 0, h = 0.5
$$

So, the points of subdivisions are:  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_1 = 0.5$ ,  $t_2 = 1$ ,  $t_3 = 1.5$ ,  $t_4 = 2$ .

We compute  $y_1, y_2, y_3$ , and  $y_4$ , which are, respectively, approximations to  $y(0.5)$ ,  $y(1)$ ,  $y(1.5)$ , and  $y(2)$ .

$$
i = 0: \t y_1 = y_0 + h f(t_0, y_0) = y(0) + h f(0, 0) = 0 + 0.5 \times 5 = 2.5
$$
  
\n
$$
(\text{exact Value} = 2.5417).
$$
  
\n
$$
i = 1: \t y_2 = y_1 + h f(t_1, y_1) = 2.5 + 0.5((0.5)^2 + 5) = 5.1250
$$
  
\n
$$
(\text{exact Value} = 5.3333).
$$
  
\n
$$
i = 2: \t y_3 = y_2 + h f(t_2, y_2) = 5.1250 + 0.5(t_2^2 + 5) = 5.1250 + 0.5(1.5) = 8.1250
$$
  
\n
$$
(\text{exact Value} = 8.6250)
$$

#### **The Errors in Euler's Method**

The approximations obtained by a numerical method to solve the IVP are usually subjected to three types of errors:

- **Local Truncation Error**
- **Global Truncation Error**
- **Round-off Error**

**Definition.** The **local truncation error** is the error made at a **single step** due to the truncation of the series used to solve the problem.

**Definition.** The **global truncation error** is the truncation error at any step, that is, the total of the accumulative single-step truncation errors at previous steps.

Recall that the Euler Method was obtained by truncating the Taylor series

$$
y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) + \cdots
$$

after two terms. Thus, in obtaining Euler's method, the first term neglected was  $\frac{h^2}{2}$  $\frac{u}{2}y''(t)$ .

So the **local error in Euler's method is:**  $E_L = \frac{h^2}{2} y''(\xi_i)$ , where  $\xi_i$  lies between  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$ . In this case, we say **that the local error is of order**  $h^2$ , **written as**  $O(h^2)$ **.** 

On the other hand, the *global truncation error* is of order  $h: O(h)$ , as can be seen from the following theorem.

Denote the global error at Step i by  $E_i$ , that is,  $E_i = y(t_i) - y_i$ .

Below we give a bound for this error assuming that certain properties of the derivatives of the solution are known. The proof of the result can be found in the book by Gear [Numerical Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations, Prentice Hall, Inc., (1971)]

# **Theorem: (Global Error Bound for the Euler Method)**

Let  $y(t)$  be the unique solution of the IVP:  $y' = f(t, y); y(a) = \alpha$ .

$$
a \le t \le b, -\infty < y < \infty
$$

Let  $L$  and  $M$  be two numbers such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f(t,y)}{\partial y}\right| \le L, \text{ and } ||y''(t)|| \le M \text{ in } [a,b].
$$

Then the global error  $E_i$  at  $t = t_i$  satisfies

$$
|E_i| = |y(t_i) - y_i| \le \frac{hM}{2L} (e^{L(t_i - a)} - 1).
$$

**Thus, The global error bound for Euler's method depends upon** h**, whereas the**  $\alpha$  local error depends upon  $h^2$ .

**Remark.** Since the exact solution  $y(t)$  of the IVP is not known, the above bound may not be of practical importance as far as knowing how large the error can be a priori. However, from this error bound, we can say that the *Euler method can be made to converge faster by* decreasing the step-size. Furthermore, if the equalities,  $L$  and  $M$  of the above theorem can be found, then we can determine what step-size will be needed to achieve a certain accuracy, as the following example shows.

**Example:** 
$$
\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{t^2 + y^2}{2}, y(0) = 0
$$

$$
0 \le t \le 1, -1 \le y(t) \le 1.
$$

Determine how small the step-size should be so that the error

does not exceed  $\epsilon=10^{-4}.$ 

Since 
$$
f(t, y) = \frac{t^2 + y^2}{2}
$$
, we have  
\n $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = y$   
\nThus,  $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| \le 1$  for all y, giving  $L = 1$ .

To find  $M$ , we compute the second-derivative of  $y(t)$  as follows:

By implicit differentiation, 
$$
y'' = \frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y)
$$
 (Given)  
\nBy implicit differentiation,  $y'' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + f \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$   
\n
$$
= t + \left(\frac{t^2 + y^2}{2}\right) y = t + \frac{y}{2}(t^2 + y^2)
$$
\nSo,  $|y''(t)| = |t + \frac{y}{2}(t^2 + y^2)| \le 2$ , for  $1 \le y \le 1$ .  
\nThus,  $M = 2$ ,  
\nand  $|E_i| = |y(t_i) - y_i| \le \frac{2h}{2!}(e^{(t_i)} - 1) = h(e^{(t_i)} - 1) = h(e - 1)$ .

and 
$$
|E_i| = |y(t_i) - y_i| \le \frac{2h}{2L}(e^{(t_i)} - 1) = h(e^{(t_i)} - 1) = h(e - 1).
$$

Now, for the error not to exceed  $10^{-4}$ , we must have:  $h(e-1) < 10^{-4}$  or  $h < \frac{10^{-4}}{1}$  $e-1$  $\approx 5.8198 \times 10^{-5}$ .

# **3 High-order Taylor Methods**

Recall that the Taylor's series expansion of  $y(t)$  of degree n is given by

$$
y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) + \dots + \frac{h^n}{n!}y^{(n)}(t_i) + \frac{h^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}y^{(n+1)}(\xi_i)
$$

Now,

(i) 
$$
y'(t) = f(t, y(t))
$$
 (given).  
\n(ii)  $y''(t) = f'(t, y(t))$ .  
\n(iii)  $y^{(i)}(t) = f^{(i-1)}(t, y(t)), i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

Thus,

$$
y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + h f(t_i, y(t_i)) + \frac{h^2}{2} f'(t_i, y(t_i)) + \dots + \frac{h^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f^{(n-1)}(t_i, y_i) + \frac{h^n}{n!} f^{(n-1)}(t_i, y(t_i)) + \frac{h^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n-1)}(\xi_i, y(\xi_i))
$$
  
=  $y(t_i) + h \left[ f(t_i, y(t_i)) + \frac{h}{2} f'(t_i, y(t_i)) + \dots + \frac{h^{n-1}}{n!} f^{n-1}(t_i, y(t_i)) \right] + \text{ Remainder Term}$   
Neglecting the remainder term the above formula can be written in compact form as follows:

 $y_{i+1} = y_i + hT_k(t_i, y_i), i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$ , where  $T_k(t_i, y_i)$  is defined by:

$$
T_k(t_i, y_1) = f(t_i, y_i) + \frac{h}{2}f'(t_i, y_i) + \dots + \frac{h^{k-1}}{k!}f^{(k-1)}(t_i, y_i)
$$

So, if we truncate the Taylor Series after  $(k+1)$  terms and use the truncated series to obtain the approximating of  $y_{1+1}$  of  $y(t_{i+1})$ , we have the following **of k-th order Taylor's algorithm for the IVP**.



**Note:** With  $k = 1$ , the above formula for  $y_{i+1}$ , reduces to Euler's method.

### **Example:**

$$
y' = y - t^2 + 1, \quad 0 \le t \le 2, \ y(0) = 0.5, h = 0 \cdot 2.
$$
  
\n
$$
f(t, y(t)) = y - t^2 + 1 \text{ (Given)}.
$$
  
\n
$$
f'(t, y(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(y - t^2 + 1) = y' - 2t
$$
  
\n
$$
= y - t^2 + 1 - 2t
$$
  
\n
$$
f''(t, y(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(y - t^2 + 1 - 2t) = y - t^2 + 1 - 2t - 2 = y - t^2 - 2t - 1
$$
  
\nso,  
\n
$$
y(0.2) \approx y_1 = y_0 + h f(t_0, y(t_0)) + \frac{h^2}{2} f'(t_0, y(t_0))
$$
  
\n
$$
= 0.5 + 0.2 \times 1.5 + \frac{(0.2)^2}{2}(0.5 + 1) = 2.2300 \text{check.}
$$
  
\n
$$
y(0.4) \approx y_2 = 1.215800
$$

# **4 Runge-Kutta Methods**

- The Euler's method is the simplest to implement; however, even for a reasonable accuracy the step-size h needs to be very small.
- The difficulties with higher order Taylor's series methods are that the derivatives of higher orders of  $f(t, y)$  need to be computed, which are very often difficult to compute/ needles to say that if  $f(t, y)$  is not explicity known in many areas.

The Runge-Kutta methods aim at achieving the accuracy of higher order Taylor series methods without computing the higher order derivatives.

We first develop the simplest one: **The Runge-Kutta Methods of order 2.**

#### **The Runge-Kutta Methods of order 2**

Suppose that we want an expression of the approximation  $y_{i+1}$  in the form:

$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + \alpha_1 k_1 + \alpha_2 k_2, \tag{4.1}
$$

where 
$$
k_1 = h f(t_i, y_i), \tag{4.2}
$$

and

$$
k_2 = h f(t_i + \alpha h, y_i + \beta k_1). \tag{4.3}
$$

The constants  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are to be chosen so that the formula is as accurate as the Taylor's Series Method with  $n = 1$ .

To develop the method we need an important result from Calculus: **Taylor's series for function to two variables.**

#### **Taylor's Theorem for Function of Two Variables**

Let  $f(t, y)$  and its partial derivatives of orders up to  $(n + 1)$  are continuous in the domain  $D = \{(t, y) | a \le t \le b, \ c \le y \le d\}.$ 

Then

$$
f(t, y) = f(t_0, y_0) + \left[ (t - t_0) \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_0, y_0) + (y - y_0) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_0, y_0) \right] + \cdots
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left[ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{h=0}^{n} {n \choose i} (t - t_0)^{h-i} (y - y_0)^i \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial t^{n-1} \partial y^i}(t_0, y_0) \right] + R_n(t, y),
$$
  
where  $R_n(t, y)$  is the remainder after *n* terms and involves the partial derivative of order  $n + 1$ .

Using the above theorem with  $n = 1$ , we have

$$
f(t_i + \alpha h, y_i + \beta k_1) = f(t_i, y_i) + \alpha h \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i) + \beta k_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i, y_i)
$$
(4.4)

From  $(4.4)$  and  $(4.3)$ , we obtain

$$
\frac{k_2}{h} = f(t_i, y_i) + \alpha h \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i) + \beta k_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i, y_i).
$$
\n(4.5)

Again, substituting the value of  $k_1$  from (4.2) and  $k_2$  from (4.3) in (4.1) we get (after some rearrangment):

$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + \alpha_1 h f(t_i, y_i) + \alpha_1 h \left[ f(t_i, y_i) + \alpha h \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i) + \beta h f(t_i, y_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i, y_i) \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
y_i + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) h f(t_i, y_i) + \alpha_2 h^2 \left[ \alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i) + \beta f(t_i, y_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i, y_i) \right]
$$
(4.6)

Also, note that  $y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hf(t_i, y_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}$ ∂f  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i) + f(t_i, y_i)$ ∂f  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i, y_i)\bigg) + \text{ higher}$ order terms.

So, neglecting the higher order terms, we can write

$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + h f(t_i, y_i) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i) + f \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i, y_i) \right).
$$
 (4.7)

If we want (4.6) and (4.7) to agree for numerical approximations, then we must have

- $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$  (comparing the coefficients of  $hf(t_i, y_i)$ ).
- $\alpha_2 \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  (comparing the coefficients of  $h^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, y_i)$ .
- $\alpha_2 \beta = \frac{1}{2}$  (comparing the coefficents of  $h^2 f(t_i, y_i)$ ∂f  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_i y_i)$ .

Since the number of unknowns here exceeds the number of equations, there are infinitely many possible solutions. The simplest solution is:

$$
\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \ \alpha = \beta = 1
$$
.

With these choices we can generate  $y_{i+1}$  from  $y_i$  as follows. The process is known as the **Modified Euler's Method**.

# Generating  $y_{i+1}$  from  $y_i$  in Modified Euler's Method

$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2),
$$
  
where  $k_1 = hf(t_i, y_i)$   

$$
k_2 = hf(t_i + h, y_i + k_1).
$$
  
or  

$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{2} \bigg[ f(t_i, y_i) + f(t_i + h, y_i + hf(t_i, y_i))
$$

### **Algorithm: The Modified Euler Method**

1



# **Local Error in the Modified Euler Method**

Since in deriving the modified Euler method, we neglected the terms involving  $h^3$  and higher powers of h, the **local error for this method is**  $O(h^3)$ . Thus with the Modified Euler

**method, we will be able to use larger step-size** h **than the Euler Method to obtain the same accuracy**.

Example: 
$$
y' = t + y
$$
,  $y(0) = 1$   
\n $h = 0.01, y_0 = y(0) = 1$ .  
\n $i = 0$ :  $y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2)$   
\n $k_1 = hf(t_0, y_0) = 0.01(0 + 1) = 0.01$   
\n $k_2 = hf(t_0 + h, y_0 + k_1) = 0.01 \times f(0.01, 1 + 0.01)$   
\n $= 0.01 \times (0.01 + 1.01) = 0.01 \times 1.02 = 0.0102$   
\n $y(0.01) \approx y_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(0.01 + 0.0102) = 1.0101$ 

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\ni & = 1: & y_2 & = y_1 + \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2) \\
& k_1 & = hf(t_1, y_1) \\
& = 0.01 \times f(0.01, 1.0101) = 0.01 \times (0.01 + 1.0101) \\
& = 0.0102\n\end{array}
$$

$$
k_2 = hf(t_1 + h, y_1 + k_1)
$$
  
= 0.01 × f(0.02, 1.0101 + 0.0102) = 0.01 × (0.02 + 1.0203)  
= -0.0104

 $y(0.02) \approx y_2 = 1.0101 + \frac{1}{2}$ 2  $(0.0102 + 0.0104) = 1.0204$ 

### **The Midpoint and Heun's Methods**

In deriving the modified Euler's Method, we have considered only one set of possible values of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1$  and  $\beta$ . We will now consider two more sets of values.

• 
$$
\alpha = 0, \ \alpha_2 = 1, \ \alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

This gives us the **Midpoint Method**.

The Midpoint Method  
\n
$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + k_2
$$
\nwhere  $k_1 = h f(t_i, y_i)$   
\n
$$
k_2 = h f\left(t_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{k_1}{2}\right)
$$
\nor  
\n
$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + h f\left(t_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{h}{2} f(t_i, y_i)\right), i = 0, 1, ..., N-1.
$$

• 
$$
\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \ \beta_1 = \frac{3}{4}, \ \alpha = \beta = \frac{2}{3}
$$

Then we have **Heun's Method**.

**Heun's Method**  
\n
$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{1}{4}k_1 + \frac{3}{4}k_2
$$
\nwhere  $k_1 = h f(t_i, y_i)$   
\n
$$
k_2 = h f\left(t_i + \frac{2}{3}h, y_i + \frac{2}{3}k_1\right)
$$
\nor\n
$$
y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{4} f(t_i, y_i) + \frac{3h}{4} f\left(t_i + \frac{2}{3}h, y_i + \frac{2h}{3} f(t_i, y_i)\right), i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1
$$

**Heun's Method** and the **Modified Euler's Method** are classified as the **Runge-Kutta methods of order 2**.

# **The Runge-Kutta Method of order 4**.

A method very widely used in practice is the Runge-Kutta method of order 4. It's derivation is complicated. We will just state the method, without proof.



**The Local Truncation Error**: The local truncation error of the Runge-Kutta Method of order 4 is  $O(h^5)$ .

# **Example:**

$$
y' = t + y
$$
,  $y(0) = 1$   
 $h = 0.01$ 

Let's complete  $y(0.01)$  using the Runge-Kutta Method of order 4.

 $i = 0$ 

$$
y(0.01) \approx y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)
$$

where  $k_1 = hf(t_0, y_0) = 0.01f(0, 1) = 0.01 \times 1 = 0.01$ .

$$
k_2 = h f(t_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{k_1}{2}) = 0.01 f\left(\frac{0.01}{2}, 1 + \frac{0.01}{2}\right) = 0.01 \left[\frac{0.01}{2} + \frac{1 + 0.01}{2}\right] = 0.0101.
$$
  
\n
$$
k_3 = h f\left(t_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{k_2}{2}\right) = h\left(t_0 + \frac{h}{2} + y_0 + \frac{k_2}{2}\right) = 0.0101005.
$$
  
\n
$$
k_4 = h f(t_0 + h, y_0 + k_3) = h(t_0 + h + y_0 + k_3) = 0.01020100
$$
  
\n
$$
y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) = 1.010100334
$$
  
\nand so on.